

TCCSF
1.4

SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION FORM - U.S. EPA REGION 10

SITE NAME: Tri-County Concentrating Mill (Former) EPA ID # ORN001003031

ALIAS SITE NAME (S): _____

LATITUDE: Lat: 44°24'57.58" North LONGITUDE: Long: 118°56'34.16" West

ADDRESS: 601 E. Main Street, John Day, OR 97845

CITY: John Day COUNTY: OR STATE: OR

REPORT TYPE: SI REPORT DATE: 02/2013 REPORT LEAD: USEPA

REPORT developed by: Ecology and Environment

DECISION:

/ X / 1. Further Remedial Site Assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because:

/ X / 1a. Site does not qualify for further remedial
site assessment under CERCLA

/ / 1b. Site may qualify for further / / RCRA
action, but is deferred to: / / NRC

(No Further Remedial Action Planned by EPA- NFRAP)

/ / 2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA:

2b. Activity	/ / PA	/ / ESI	/ / RDT meeting needed
	/ / Combined PA/SI	/ / HRS Evaluation	/ / mini-RDT meeting needed
	/ / SI	/ / Integrated Assessment	/ / Other _____
	/ / Site Reassessment	/ / Removal Assessment	

Discussion / Rationale: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has determined that no further action by the Federal Superfund program is warranted at the referenced site, at this time. A NFRAP designation means that no additional steps under the Federal Superfund program will be taken at the site unless new information warranting further Superfund consideration or conditions not previously known to EPA regarding the site are discovered. If new or additional information becomes available suggesting that this site may warrant further consideration by the Federal Superfund program, EPA will reevaluate this site accordingly. This NFRAP decision does not relieve the facility from complying with state, local or other federal requirements.

Other site-specific decisions (include date for each decision):

09/09/2013 **Archive (NFRAP Flag)**-All Federal Superfund Work Completed, i.e., no further Superfund interest (The Archive should only be used once the site is NFRAP=d for site assessment work AND no further remedial, removal, enforcement, cost recovery, or oversight activities are planned or conducted at the site. Include in site file documentation showing that removal/enforcement/cost recovery activities, if any at the site, are closed out. Email responses from the enforcement/removal programs are acceptable documentation.)

Excluded from Eligible Response Sites (As defined by the Brownfields Law of 2002) - The EPA region, after consulting with the relevant state agency, has determined that this site is to be excluded from the definition of an eligible response site. Include in the CERCLA site file a copy of the written communications with the state and the written determination.

No Further Federal Action (NFFA) - as defined in the Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act (Brownfields Law of 2002). CERCLA Section 101(41)(C)(i) authorizes EPA to make a site which was previously excluded, because it had obtained a preliminary score sufficient for possible listing, an eligible response site again. If a site has any planned or ongoing enforcement, cost recovery or removal activities, an NFFA determination will not be allowed.


NFFA or ERS Exclusion concurrence by Ofc of Regional Counsel: _____ Date: _____

NFFA or ERS Exclusion decision made by: _____ Date: _____

Unit Manager, Site Assessment/Cleanup Unit 2

/ X / **FINAL ASSESSMENT DECISION (FAD)**

(Check if this decision meets the following GPRA definition: NFRAP; Deferred to NRC; Referred to Removal (NFRAP); Addressed as part of another NPL site or Addressed as part of another Non-NPL site; a State deferral action is completed; an NPL listing action has occurred; or the site has been archived after some Site Assessment Action). The final decision date is the site decision date below.

Report Reviewed/Site Decision Made by: Ken Marcy  Date: 09/09/13 EPA

Region 10 Site Assessment Manager

Region 10 Revision of EPA Form # 9100-4, September 2004

USEPA SF



1453809